

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/737,144	12/15/2003	Su II Yum	DURE-050	6360	
31498 DURECT COI	7590 07/13/201 RPORATION	EXAMINER			
THOMAS P. N	MCCRACKEN	FUBARA, BLESSING M			
2 RESULTS V CUPERTINO,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/13/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/737,144	YUM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BLESSING M. FUBARA	1618		

	BLESSING M. FUBARA	1618						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 21 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In now, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filled within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filled within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 80-84</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
See Continuation Sheet.  12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
	/Diseases M. Full 1							
	/Blessing M. Fubara/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1618						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: A) The remarks filed 6/21/2010 are the same as the remarks filed 3/16/2010 and have been fully addressed in the office action of 6/17/2010 and the response provided in the office action of 6/17/2010 is incorporated herein; B) No claims have been amended—the claims filed now on 6/21/2010 are the same as the claims filed 3/16/2010; C) The claims filed 6/21/2010 do not have the proper status identifiers — the proper status identifiers should be —previously amended—for claim 1 and —previously presented—for claims 80-84.

It is suggested that applicant respond to the final office action of 6/17/2010 if applicant desires to file RCE and also to use the appropriate status identifiers for the claims in all future communications.